IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

William Creech,) C/A No.: 3:11-1742-MBS-SVH
Plaintiff,))
vs.) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
Washington Savannah River Company, LLC,)))
Defendant.))

This matter is before the court on Defendant's motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute [Entry #31]. All pretrial proceedings in this case were referred to the undersigned pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d) (D.S.C.). Because this is a dispositive motion, this report and recommendation is entered for review by the district judge.

On January 26, 2012, the parties moved to stay the Scheduling Order dated September 30, 2011, pending "resolution of questions as to whether settlement has been reached in this matter and whether Plaintiff will retain current counsel." [Entry #31 at 1]. The court granted the motion to stay and ordered that the parties file a status report on or before February 27, 2012. Defendants filed the instant motion to dismiss in lieu of a status report.

According to Defendant's motion, the parties agreed, through their counsel, to the basic terms of possible settlement on or around December 6, 2011. [Entry #31 at 2]. Defendant further alleges that Plaintiff has made no attempts to prosecute this case since

that time. Id. The motion also states that Plaintiff's counsel previously affirmed that

Plaintiff does not intend to continue to pursue this case, and that he neither consents nor

opposes the motion to dismiss. Plaintiff did not file a response to the motion to dismiss.

As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon

this action. Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends this action be

dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Davis v. Williams, 588 F.2d 69, 70

(4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

Shira V. Hodges

March 16, 2012 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge